

Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 05662 01 OF 02 171354Z

47

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 ACDE-00 SSO-00 INRE-00 NSCE-00

USIE-00 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 H-02 INR-07 IO-10 L-03

NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-04 PRS-01 SAJ-01

SAM-01 SP-02 SS-15 TRSE-00 NSC-05 /083 W

----- 017845

O R 171305Z OCT 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 4082 IMMEDIATE

SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE

INFO USDEL MBFR VIENNA

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

S E C R E T SECTION 1 OF 2 USNATO 5662

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, NATO, MBFR

SUBJ: MBFR: OPTION III: AIR MANPOWER AND COMMON CEILING ISSUES:

SPC MEETING OCTOBER 16

REF: A) USNATO 5618 DTG 161034Z OCT 75; (B) USNATO 5444

DTG 071330Z OCT 75; C) USNATO 5440 DTG 070950Z OCT 75; D)

STATE 245479 DTG 160008Z OCT 75

BEGIN SUMMARY: UK REP, IN ABSENCE OF NEW INSTRUCTIONS, WAS NOT ABLE TO ACCEPT THE NEW FRG WORDING FOR PARA 1 OF THE OPTION III DRAFT GUIDANCE, RE INCLUSION OF AIR MANPOWER IN THE COMMON CEILING WITH A SUB-CEILING ON GROUND FORCES. HOWEVER, BELGIAN REP CONTINUED TO APPROACH THE SUBJECT AS IF BELGIUM NOW AGREED TO INCLUDE AIR MANPOWER IN THE COMMON CEILING, ALTHOUGH HE HAS NOT EXPLICITLY WITHDRAWN THE ALTERNATIVE BELGIAN PROPOSAL TO EXTEND THE NO-INCREASE COMMITMENT ON AIR MANPOWER. FRG REP SAID BONN OPPOSED THE BELGIAN
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 05662 01 OF 02 171354Z

PROPOSAL TO ADD AT THE END OF THE FRG WORDING THAT THE ALLIES WOULD SEEK PHASE I AGREEMENT ON THE LEVEL OF THE COLLECTIVE COMMON CEILING. NETHERLANDS, HOWEVER, SUPPORTED THE LATTER WORDING, AND UK WELCOMED IT. BELGIAN, US, FRG, DUTCH AND DANISH

REPS ALL OPPOSED UK PROPOSAL THAT ALLIES SEEK PHASE I AGREEMENT ON DATA ON INITIAL FORCE TOTALS. ACTION REQUESTED: SEE PARA 14 BELOW. END SUMMARY.

1. BELGIAN REP (WILLOT) SAID HIS AUTHORITIES HAD NOTED THAT THE FRG VERSION OF FINAL TIC OF PARA 1 OF THE DRAFT GUIDANCE (FIRST "OR" VERSION, PARA 1 OF TEXT IN REF A) DID NOT CLEARLY STATE THAT THERE WOULD BE A SUBCEILING ON GROUND FORCES, I.E., THAT THE APPROXIMATELY 700,000 MAN FIGURE WOULD BE MAINTAINED. HE PROPOSED SPECIFYING THAT THE APPROXIMATELY 700,000 FIGURE WAS A SUBCEILING.

2. FRG REP (HOYNCK) SAID FRG FOR TACTICAL REASONS DID NOT WISH TO USE THE WORD "SUBCEILING" IN THE FINAL TIC OF PARA 1. HE SUGGESTED ADDING, AFTER "700,000 MEN" THE PHRASE "AND WHICH WILL BE MAINTAINED".

3. UK REP (BAILES) SAID HER EXISTING INSTRUCTIONS IN FAVOR OF NON-EXPLICIT SUBCEILINGS HAD NOT CHANGED. SHE THUS CONTINUED TO SUPPORT THE OLD US WORDING FOR THIS TIC (FIRST SENTENCE IN "PLUS EITHER" VERSION). SHE SAID, HOWEVER, THAT LONDON BELIEVED THE BRACKETED BELGIAN REFERENCE TO APPROXIMATE PARITY IN AIR MANPOWER IN THE FRG VERSION SHOULD NOT BE CONTAINED IN THE DRAFT GUIDANCE. LONDON HAD WELCOMED THE BRACKETED BELGIAN FINAL SENTENCE TO THE FRG VERSION OF THE NEED TO SEEK AGREEMENT IN PHASE I ON THE LEVELS AT WHICH THE COMMON CEILING WOULD BE SET.

4. NETHERLANDS REP (.33 .-.) SAID THE NETHERLANDS COULD NOW ACCEPT THE FRG WORDING FOR THIS TIC, WITHOUT THE BELGIAN PHRASE ON APPROXIMATE PARITY IN AIR MANPOWER, BUT WITH THE FINAL BELGIAN SENTENCE ON SEEKING AGREEMENT IN PHASE I ON THE LEVEL OF THE COMMON CEILING. HE NOTED THAT NETHERLANDS COULD NOW DROP THE "PLUS EITHER" VERSION (SINCE UK STILL SUPPORTS THE FIRST SENTENCE IN THE "PLUS EITHER" VERSION,

THIS MEANS THAT THE SECOND SENTENCE ONLY MAY NOW BE DELETED).
SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 05662 01 OF 02 171354Z

5. BELGIAN REP REITERATED THAT HE COULD DROP THE REFERENCE TO APPROXIMATE PARITY IN AIR MANPOWER FROM THE DRAFT GUIDANCE IN RETURN FOR REFERENCE TO IT IN SOME WAY IN THE DRAFT POSITION PAPER.

6. FRG REP SAID HIS AUTHORITIES COULD NOT ACCEPT THE FINAL BELGIAN SENTENCE ON SEEKING AGREEMENT IN PHASE I ON THE LEVEL OF THE COMMON CEILING. THERE WAS A CONTRADICTION BETWEEN THIS BELGIAN SENTENCE AND THE PHRASE "MIGHT BE SET" USED IN THE FIRST SENTENCE OF THE FRG FINAL TIC WITH RESPECT TO THE COMMON CEILING ON GROUND FORCE MANPOWER AND THE COMBINED GROUND/AIR COMMON CEILING. (COMMENT: BELGIAN SENTENCE IS ALSO INCONSISTENT WITH FRG APPROACH IN PARA 3 OF POSITION

PAPER, WHICH OFFERS TWO ALTERNATIVES.)

7. UK REP ASKED FRG REF WHAT FRG MEANT IN SAYING THAT THE ALLIES WILL NOT ACCEPT "AGREED" REDUCTIONS OF AIR MANPOWER IN THE FINAL TIC DID NOT THE FRG MEAN "COMPULSORY"? FRG REP REPLIED THAT FRG DID NOT MEAN "COMPULSORY". HE THOUGHT FRG USED THE WORD "AGREED" WITH THE INTENTION OF BEING SOMEWHAT VAGUE. (COMMENT: FRG REP WAS NOT CLEAR ON WHY FRG HAD SELECTED THIS WORD.)

8. DISCUSSION LATER TURNED TO PARA 3 IN THE DRAFT POSITION PAPER (TEXT IN REF B). FOR EASE OF REFERENCE, CURRENT VERSION OF FRG END UK ALTERNATIVES TO THE US "EITHER" VERSION

OF PARA 3 ARE AS FOLLOWS (THE UK VERSION INCLUDES SLIGHT DRAFTING CHANGES MADE SINCE LAST MEETING BY UK ON PREVIOUS UK VERSION IN PARA 6 REF C):

(IN THE PHASE I AGREEMENT ALL THE DIRECT PARTICIPANTS WILL COLLECTIVELY AGREE TO A COMMON COLLECTIVE CEILING, APPROPRIATELY DEFINED, ON GROUND (AND AIR FORCE) MANPOWER PM THE AREA OF REDUCTIONS, TO BE COMPLETED IN PHASE II. THE ALLIANCE MUST HAVE REACHED AGREEMENT ON THE LEVEL OF THIS COMMON COLLECTIVE CEILING AMOUNTING TO (700,000) (900,000) MEN.

AN APPROPRIATE DEFINITION OF THE COMMON COLLECTIVE CEILING
SECRET

PAGE 04 NATO 05662 01 OF 02 171354Z

MUST CONTAIN THE FOLLOWING ELEMENTS:

SECRET

PAGE 01 NATO 05662 02 OF 02 171406Z

47

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 ACDE-00 SSO-00 INRE-00 NSCE-00

USIE-00 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 H-02 INR-07 IO-10 L-03

NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-04 PRS-01 SAJ-01

SAM-01 SP-02 SS-15 TRSE-00 NSC-05 /083 W

----- 018027

O R 171305Z OCT 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 4083

SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE

INFO USDEL MBFR VIENNA

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON
USNMR SHAPE
USCINCEUR

S E C R E T SECTION 2 OF 2 USNATO 5662

- AGREEMENT WITH THE EAST ON THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A
COMMON COLLECTIVE CEILING IN PHASE II;

- AGREEMENT WITH THE EAST ON THE COLLECTIVE CHARACTER
OF A COMMON CEILING (AND ON THE COLLECTIVE NATURE OF
THE REDUCTION COMMITMENTS);

- UNDERSTANDING WITH THE EAST AS TO THE LEVEL (S) OF
GROUND (AND AIR) FORCE PERSONNEL OF BOTH SIDES IN THE
AREA OF REDUCTIONS FOLLOWING PHASE I REDUCTIONS,

OR, ALTERNATIVELY, AGREEMENT WITH THE EAST ON AN
ILLUSTRATIVE FIGURE FOR THE COMMON COLLECTIVE
CEILING, SAY (700,000) (900,000) MEN ON EACH SIDE.)

OR UK VERSION

(IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE AGREEMENT ON THE SACLE OF REDUCTIONS
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 05662 02 OF 02 171406Z

NEEDED TO REACH THE COMMON COLLECTIVE CEILING, THE ALLIES
(MUST) (SHOULD) FIRST SECURE AGREEMENT WITH THE EAST ON (THE)
(PRE-) EXISTING LEVELS OF GROUND (AND AIR) FORCE MANPOWER ON
BOTH SIDES. AN APPROPRIATE DEFINITION OF THE COMMON COLLECTIVE
CEILING SHOULD CONTAIN THE FOLLOWING ELEMENTS:

(I) AGREEMENT WITH THE EAST IN PHASE I ON THE ESTABLISHMENT
OF A COMMON COLLECTIVE CEILING AS A RESULT OF PHASE
II REDUCTIONS;

(II) AGREEMENT WITH THE EAST ON THE FIGURE FOR THAT COMMON
COLLECTIVE CEILING. IN PRESENTING THE US NUCLEAR
REDUCTION PACKAGE, WESTERN PARTICIPANTS SHOULD
DESCRIBE THE LEVEL OF THEIR COMMON COLLECTIVE
CEILING OBJECTIVE AS APPROXIMATELY (700,000)
(900,000) MEN.)

9. UK REP CONFIRMED THAT HER AUTHORITIES COULD NOT ACCEPT
THE BELGIAN PROPOSAL TO CHANGE "MUST" TO "SHOULD" FIRST SECURE
AGREEMENT ON DATA FOR EXISTING FORCE TOTALS. IN THE INTEREST
OF CLARITY, UK WISHED TO CHANGE THE FIRST SENTENCE OF THE
UK VERSION TO READ: "...MUST FIRST
SECURE AGREEMENT WITH THE EAST ON THE LEVELS OF GROUND AND AIR
FORCE MANPOWER ON BOTH SIDES EXISTING BEFORE CONCLUSION OF
A PHASE I AGREEMENT." UK COULD ALSO DROP THE LAST SENTENCE
OF PARA II OF ITS VERSION.

10. BELGIAN REP REITERATED HIS VIEW THAT AGREEMENT ON DATA ON EXISTING FORCE LEVELS, ALTHOUGH DESIRABLE, IS NOT ESSENTIAL, AND THEREFORE SHOULD NOT BE MADE A CONDITION OF THE PHASE I AGREEMENT AS IN THE UK PARA 3. UK, FRG, AND DUTCH REPS AGREED WITH THE BELGIAN REP. DANISH REP (VILLANDSEN) DID ALSO. HE NOTED THE ALLIES WOULD AT SOME TIME HAVE TO STUDY THE QUESTION OF WHAT DATA IS ESSENTIAL FOR AGREEMENT WITH THE EAST, AND HE POINTED OUT THAT THE UK HAD BEEN THE COUNTRY WHICH HAD PROPOSED PUTTING ASIDE THE MBFR WORKING GROUP STUDY OF THIS ISSUE LAST APRIL.

11. US REP CIRCULATED THE US DRAFT PARA 16 (SERVICE SUBCEILINGS) OF THE DRAFT POSITION PAPER (REF D).

SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 05662 02 OF 02 171406Z

12. BELGIAN REP SAID HE DID NOT BELIEVE US MEANT THAT A "PROPORTIONATE LIMITED INCREASE" WOULD BE PERMITTED US AND SOVIET GROUND FORCES FOLLOWING REDUCTIONS. HE SUGGESTED "ADEQUATE LIMITED INCREASE" AND US REP ACCEPTED IT AD REFERENDUM.

13. UK REP POINTED OUT THAT THE US LANGUAGE DID NOT SPECIFICALLY PROVIDE FOR FLEXIBILITY IN BOTH DIRECTIONS, SINCE IT ONLY PROVIDED FOR THE "RIGHT TO INCREASE OVERALL GROUND FORCE MANPOWER" BY A LIMITED AMOUNT AND DID NOT PROVIDE FOR SIMILAR RIGHT TO INCREASE AIR MANPOWER BY A LIMITED AMOUNT. BELGIAN REP PROPOSED INSERTING AFTER "RIGHT TO INCREASE OVERALL GROUND FORCE MANPOWER" THE PHRASE "OR CONVERSELY ITS OVERALL AIR FORCE MANPOWER." HE SAID THE WORDING WOULD NOT DETRACT FROM THE FOCUS ON GROUND FORCES, SINCE IT WOULD BE IN THE INTERNAL POSITION PAPER. ALLIES CAN SETTLE ON FORMULATION WITH THE EAST LATER. UK REP SAID SIMILAR LANGUAGE MIGHT BE WORKED IN TO THE SENTENCES ON US AND SOVIET GROUND FORCES, AND INTO THE FINAL SENTENCE.

14. ACTION REQUESTED, IN TIME FOR SPC MEETING MONDAY, OCTOBER 20:

A. WASHINGTON COMMENT ON FRG REP'S SUGGESTION IN PARA 2 ABOVE.

B. GUIDANCE ON BELGIAN REP'S SUGGESTION IN PARA 13 ABOVE.

C. WE NOTE THAT THE US DRAFT PARA 16 DOES NOT REFER TO A FLEXIBILITY MARGIN FOR THE PERIOD BETWEEN THE PHASES. ALTHOUGH NO ONE HAS RAISED THIS YET, WE WOULD APPRECIATE KNOWING IF THERE WAS ANY PARTICULAR REASON FOR THIS OMISSION. STREATOR

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 18 AUG 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 17 OCT 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: greeneet
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975NATO05662
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: NATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t197510101/abbrzmpe.tel
Line Count: 269
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: n/a
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 5
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A) USNATO 5618 DTG 161034Z OCT 75; (B) USNATO 5444 DTG 071330Z OCT 75; C) USNATO 5440 DTG 070950Z OCT 75; D) STATE 245479 DTG 160008Z OCT 75
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: greeneet
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 11 APR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <11 APR 2003 by SmithRJ>; APPROVED <17 SEP 2003 by greeneet>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MBFR: OPTION III: AIR MANPOWER AND COMMON CEILING ISSUES: SPC MEETING OCTOBER 16
TAGS: PARM, NATO, MBFR
To: STATE
SECDEF INFO MBFR VIENNA
BONN
LONDON
USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

Type: TE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006